ORIGINAL

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMIS.



UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Investigator: Brad Morton

Phone: (

Fax:

()

 \triangleright

5

വ

Priority: Respond Within Five Days

Opinion

No. 2009 - 81755

Date: 9/11/2009

Complaint Description:

08E Rate Cases Items - In Favor

N/A Not Applicable

First:

Last:

Complaint By:

Connie

Mullineaux

Account Name:

Connie Mullineaux

Home: (000) 000-0000

Street:

⊸ n/a

Work:

City:

Sonoita

CBR:

State:

ΑZ

Zip: 85637

is:

Contact Phone:

Utility Company.

Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc.

Division:

Electric

Contact Name:

Nature of Complaint:

OPINION THROUGH CHAIRMAN MAYES

Docket No. E 01575A-08-0328

From: connie mullineaux

Sent: Sunday, September 06, 2009 1:01 PM

To: Mayes-WebEmail

Subject: FEASIBILITY STUDY (POWER LINES/POLES through Sonoita)

As a Sonoita resident, I wish to express my support for a feasibility study regarding alternatives to the proposed POWER LINES/TALL POLES planned for installation through this area.

I believe that SSVEC might work with the community due to the new energy sources available to provide the electricity that we need, rather than really tall poles and lines SSVEC is proposing to run through this area. It would be a win/win for solar and wind installations either by residents or in cooperation with SSVEC, or with SSVEC purchasing the energy from new green energy businesses that are developing and gearing up in Sonoita.

Thank you for reading my request. I hope you will seriously consider promoting an alternative to TALL POLES and LINES. (It is 2009, not 1959) Sincerely, Connie Mullineaux *End of Complaint*

Utilities' Response:

Investigator's Comments and Disposition:

Opinion docketed in favor of Decision 71274. *End of Comments*

Arizona Conscration Commission DOMETED

547 7.5 2009

DOCKETED BY

UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM



Date Completed: 9/11/2009

No.

Opinion No. 2009 - 81755

UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Investigator: Brad Morton

Phone:

Fax:

Priority: Respond Within Five Days

Opinion

No. 2009 - 81750

Date: 9/11/2009

Complaint Description:

08E Rate Cases Items - In Favor

N/A Not Applicable

First:

Last:

Complaint By:

Linda & Tom

Hanson

Account Name:

Linda & Tom Hanson

Home: (000) 000-0000

Street:

Work:

City:

Sonoita

CBR:

State:

ΑZ

Zip: 85637

is:

Utility Company.

Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc.

Division:

Electric

Contact Name:

Contact Phone:

Nature of Complaint:

OPINION THROUGH CHAIRMAN MAYES

Docket No. E 01575A-08-0328

From: Tomhanson3@aol.com

Sent: Friday, September 04, 2009 4:08 PM

To: Mayes-WebEmail; Kennedy-Web; Pierce-Web; Newman-Web; Stump-Web

Subject: 69 KV feasibility study

We want to thank you for requesting a feasibility study on the proposed 69 KV line that would run right below my property. Right now, we have an unobstructed view of the Santa Rita mountains. We definitely would like to keep it that way.

Our folks have come up with some lower cost alternatives that should be explored. SSVEC apparently is not interested. My guess is that they have signed contracts that have penalty clauses that they want to avoid. I hope it is not some stupid ego thing.

Please press forward on the feasibility study. We think it is important to look at environmentally sound alternatives to the present plan.

Thank you

Linda & Tom Hanson

UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Sonoita, Az 85637 *End of Complaint*



Utilities' Response:

Investigator's Comments and Disposition:

Opinion docketed in favor of Decision 71274. *End of Comments*

Date Completed: 9/11/2009

Opinion No. 2009 - 81750









UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Investigator: Brad Morton

Phone:

Fax: (

Priority: Respond Within Five Days

Opinion

No. 2009 - 81749

Date: 9/11/2009

Complaint Description:

08E Rate Cases Items - In Favor

N/A Not Applicable

First:

Last:

Complaint By:

Caroline

Straub

Account Name:

Caroline Straub

Street:

n/a

Home: Work:

City:

Sonoita

CBR:

State:

ΑZ

Zip: 00000

<u>is:</u>

Utility Company.

Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc.

Division:

Electric

Contact Name:

Contact Phone:



Nature of Complaint:

Docket No. E 01575A-08-0328

From: Caroline Straub

Sent: Saturday, September 05, 2009 9:05 Aivi

To: Mayes-WebEmail

Subject: Sonoita Electric Feas study

I am a land owner

I agree with the

decision that an independent feasibility study needs to be done

for the Sonoita Area. I believe this will provide the most reliable power to this area at the lowest cost to the members of SSVEC.

Caroline Straub *End of Complaint*

Utilities' Response:

Investigator's Comments and Disposition:

Opinion docketed in favor of Decision 71274.

End of Comments

UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM






Date Completed: 9/11/2009

Opinion No. 2009 - 81749









UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Investigator: Brad Morton

Phone:

Fax:

Priority: Respond Within Five Days

Opinion

No. 2009 - 81752

Date: 9/11/2009

Complaint Description:

08E Rate Cases Items - In Favor

N/A Not Applicable

First:

Last:

Complaint By:

John

Hoffman

Account Name:

John Hoffman

Home: (000) 000-0000

Street:

n/a

Work:

City:

Sonoita

CBR:

State:

ΑZ

Zip: 85637

is:

Utility Company.

Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc.

Division:

Electric

Contact Name:

Contact Phone:

Nature of Complaint:

OPINION THROUGH CHAIRMAN MAYES

Docket No. E 01575A-08-0328

From: John Hoffman |

Sent: Friday, September 04, 2009 12:40 PM

To: Mayes-WebEmail Subject: SSVEC

Commissioner Mayes,

It appears that SSVEC is mounting a campaign to overturn your decision to require a feasibility study before installing the 69KV line into Sonoita. I support your decision and feel that the feasibility study is a necessary first step for this construction project that may adversely affect so many people as well as the environment in our area. Keep up the good work.

John Hoffman Sonoita, Arizona *End of Complaint*

Utilities' Response:

Investigator's Comments and Disposition:

Opinion docketed in favor of Decision 71274.

End of Comments

UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

The same of the sa



Date Completed: 9/11/2009

The second second second

Opinion No. 2009 - 81752

UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Investigator: Brad Morton

Phone:

Fax: \

THE PARTY OF THE P

Priority: Respond Within Five Days

Opinion

No. 2009 - 81754

Complaint Description:

08E Rate Cases Items - In Favor

N/A Not Applicable

First:

Last:

Complaint By:

Larry & Susan

McDonald

Account Name:

Larry & Susan McDonald

Home: (000) 000-0000

Street:

Work:

City:

Sonoita

CBR:

State:

ΑZ

Zip: 85637

is:

Utility Company.

Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc.

Division:

Electric

Contact Name:

Contact Phone:

Nature of Complaint:

OPINION THROUGH CHAIRMAN MAYES

Docket No. E 01575A-08-0328

From: Susan McDonald

Sent: Saturday, September 05, 2009 4:38 PM

To: Mayes-WebEmail

Subject: Feasibility Study from SSVEC for Sonoita Area

Chairman Mayes and Fellow Board Members:

First, our sincere thanks for bringing the BOD of The Arizona Corporation Commission to Tucson for a meeting

our part of the state. We appreciate your open ears and the decisions made that day.

No one should be afraid of a feasibility study done on an independent basis. We have not personally had the time to do a complete study on the 69K line and viable options. However, the members of our community who have worked long and hard on a more updated, green, and less expensive option for Sonoita have our complete backing. We were thrilled that the ACC BOD was astute enough to call for such a study! We are hopeful that our community may serve as a model for the nation using renewable energy and a well thought out means of delivering energy to our area.

We are sure you will receive some honest and heartfelt pleas to do away with such a study. We would ask that you stand firm upon the decision you made in August of 2009. As active members of the community and

UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

but the want only the best for this area. A feasibility study seems to be a giant step in the right direction.

Thank you, Larry & Susan McDonald

End of Complaint*

Utilities' Response:

Investigator's Comments and Disposition:

Opinion docketed in favor of Decision 71274. *End of Comments*

Date Completed: 9/11/2009

Opinion No. 2009 - 81754

